

# **SCEIS Project Evaluation Form**

Requesting Agency: Public Employee and Benefits Authority (PEBA)

Project Name: Implement Security Roles by Cost Center for PEBA

Project rankings are determined by evaluating both value and resource determinants.

**Value** is defined by the level of importance to the State of South Carolina, user community, and the SCEIS system itself.

**Resources** are defined as the projected labor, costs and time required to complete the requested project (e.g., personnel, hardware, and software).

#### I. Value Determinants

The **value** scale is a range from 1 to 6 with 1 having a low level of importance and 6 having a high level of importance.

1 and 2 = low value

3 and 4 = medium value

5 and 6 = high value

#### State Benefit (circle one):

This score reflects the overall benefit to the state. Change requests that improve agency processes, enhance controls, streamline work flows or create cost efficiencies may be factors that contribute to this scoring. (Please note: A change request that is required by law, regulation or executive mandate would be a candidate for a higher score within this category.)

Bringing this functionality to PEBA will provide consistency in the business processes throughout state agencies (e.g., Security Roles by Cost).

#### **User Community Benefit (circle one):**

1 2 3 4 5 6

Examples include a high level of interest across agencies, cost or time savings for enabling this new efficiency. This category should also consider factors such as increased convenience for individual users.

This process is used by several state agencies. It allows the agencies to control their workflow when working with different cost centers and level of management approval.

RFC-0092



### SCEIS Benefit (circle one):

1 2 3 4 5 6

This score reflects the proposed project's ability to eliminate redundancies, reduce paper handling, and promote adoption of SCEIS functionality.

Implementing cost center security will allow PEBA to more efficiently control workflow, preventing unnecessary Service Desk tickets.

Value Score (average of category totals): 4.3

#### II. Resource Determinants

The **resource** scale is a range from 1 to 6 with 6 being "high resources" to complete the project and 1 being "low resources" presently available to complete the project.

1 and 2 = low resources

3 and 4 = medium resources

5 and 6 = high resources

#### Level of resource requirements (circle one):



5 (

The scope of the project impacts the resources to be expended upon its delivery. Resources may include development time, additional hardware and or software, or extraordinary agency system requirements such as interfaces outside of the agencies control. This can also be influenced by time constraints to deliver a project.

This project will be completed by the SCEIS Security team with testing done by the SCEIS Finance team. Projected resource hours total 88.

#### Scope of project (circle one):

1 2 3

5 6

Scope elements that may hinder a project may include interagency collaboration, agency data not being accessible or ready, or pending statutory requirements

This is functionality that we currently use and will not require any development time.

#### Variation from existing configuration or technology (circleone):

1 2 3

5 6

Technology variations include utilizing hardware, software or technology that deviates from the SCEIS Standard Configuration, not following standards of architecture or implementation, or adding a higher level of risk.

This functionality is not new and is currently in use by many other agencies within the system.

RFC-0092 2




# Risk associated with implementing the change (circle one): 1 2 3 4 5 6

Rate according to the level of risk, including strategic risk, financial risk, project management risk, technology risk or operational risk, associated with implementation of the requested change.

There is no known risk associated with the project.

Resources Score (average of category totals): 1.75

## **III. Project Priority**



Priority recommended based on overall Resource and Value rankings (check one):

| low value/low resource | low value/medium resource |
| high value/low resource | high value/medium resource | high value/medium resource | high value/high resource | high value/high resource |

RFC-0092

RFC-0092 4